

# A note on operator tuples which are $(m, p)$ -isometric as well as $(\mu, \infty)$ -isometric

Philipp H. W. Hoffmann

## Abstract

We show that if a tuple of commuting, bounded linear operators  $(T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$  is both an  $(m, p)$ -isometry and a  $(\mu, \infty)$ -isometry, then the tuple  $(T_1^m, \dots, T_d^m)$  is a  $(1, p)$ -isometry. We further prove some additional properties of the operators  $T_1, \dots, T_d$  and show a stronger result in the case of a commuting pair  $(T_1, T_2)$ .

**Keywords:** operator tuple, normed space, Banach space,  $m$ -isometry,  $(m, p)$ -isometry,  $(m, \infty)$ -isometry

AMS Subject Classification: 47B99, 05A10

## 1 Introduction

Let in the following  $X$  be a normed vector space over  $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$  and let the symbol  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the natural numbers including 0.

A tuple of commuting linear operators  $T := (T_1, \dots, T_d)$  with  $T_j : X \rightarrow X$  is called an  $(m, p)$ -isometry (or an  $(m, p)$ -isometric tuple) if, and only if, for given  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \in (0, \infty)$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^{m-k} \binom{m}{k} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!} \|T^\alpha x\|^p = 0, \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (1.1)$$

Here,  $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  is a multi-index,  $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_d$  the sum of its entries,  $\frac{k!}{\alpha!} := \frac{k!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_d!}$  a multinomial coefficient and  $T^\alpha := T_1^{\alpha_1} \dots T_d^{\alpha_d}$ , where  $T_j^0 := I$  is the identity operator.

Tuples of this kind have been introduced by Gleason and Richter [10] on Hilbert spaces (for  $p = 2$ ) and have been further studied on general normed spaces in [8]. The tuple case generalises the single operator case, originating in the works of Richter [11] and Agler [2] in the 1980s and being comprehensively studied in the Hilbert space case by Agler and Stankes [3]; the single operator case on Banach spaces has been introduced by Bayart in [4] in its general form and also has also been studied in [7] and [12]. We remark that boundedness, although usually assumed, is not essential for the definition of  $(m, p)$ -isometries, as shown by Bermúdez, Martínón and Müller in [5]. Boundedness does, however, play an important role in the theory of objects of the following kind:

Let  $B(X)$  denote the algebra of bounded (i.e. continuous) linear operators on  $X$ . Equating sums over even and odd  $k$  and then considering  $p \rightarrow \infty$  in

(1.1), leads to the definition of  $(m, \infty)$ -isometries (or  $(m, \infty)$ -isometric tuples). That is, a tuple of commuting, bounded linear operators  $T \in B(X)^d$  is referred to as an  $(m, \infty)$ -isometry if, and only if, for given  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$\max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,\dots,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ even}}} \|T^\alpha x\| = \max_{\substack{|\alpha|=0,\dots,m \\ |\alpha| \text{ odd}}} \|T^\alpha x\|, \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (1.2)$$

These tuples have been introduced in [8], with the definition of the single operator case appearing in [9]. Although, it may be possible that tuples of unbounded operators satisfying (1.2) exist, several important statements on  $(m, \infty)$ -isometries require boundedness. Therefore, from now on, we will always assume the operators  $T_1, \dots, T_d$  to be bounded.

In [8], the question is asked what necessary properties a commuting tuple  $T \in B(X)^d$  has to satisfy if it is both an  $(m, p)$ -isometry and a  $(\mu, \infty)$ -isometry, where possibly  $m \neq \mu$ . In the single operator case this question is trivial and answered in [9]: If  $T = T_1$  is a single operator, then the condition that  $T_1$  is an  $(m, p)$ -isometry is equivalent to the mapping  $n \mapsto \|T_1^n x\|^p$  being a polynomial of degree  $\leq m-1$  for all  $x \in X$ . This has been already been observed for operators on Hilbert spaces in [10] and shown in the Banach space/normed space case in [9]; the necessity of the mapping  $n \mapsto \|T_1^n x\|^p$  being a polynomial has already been proven in [4] and [6]. On the other hand, in [9] it is shown that if a bounded operator  $T = T_1 \in B(X)$  is a  $(\mu, \infty)$ -isometry, then the mapping  $n \mapsto \|T_1^n x\|$  is bounded for all  $x \in X$ . The conclusion is obvious: if  $T = T_1 \in B(X)$  is both  $(m, p)$ - and  $(\mu, \infty)$ -isometric, then  $n \mapsto \|T_1^n x\|^p$  is always constant and  $T_1$  has to be an isometry (and, since every isometry is  $(m, p)$ - and  $(\mu, \infty)$ -isometric, we have equivalence).

The situation is, however, far more difficult in the multivariate, that is, in the operator tuple case. Again, we have equivalence between  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d)$  being an  $(m, p)$ -isometry and the mapping  $n \mapsto \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{n!}{\alpha!} \|T^\alpha x\|^p$  being polynomial of degree  $\leq m-1$  for all  $x \in X$ . The necessity part of this statement has been proven in the Hilbert space case in [10] and equivalence in the general case has been shown in [8]. On the other hand, one can show that if  $T \in B(X)^d$  is a  $(\mu, \infty)$ -isometry, then the family  $(\|T^\alpha x\|)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d}$  is bounded for all  $x \in X$ , which has been proven in [8]. But this fact only implies that the polynomial growth of  $n \mapsto \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{n!}{\alpha!} \|T^\alpha x\|^p$  has to be caused by the factors  $\frac{n!}{\alpha!}$  and does not immediately give us any further information about the tuple  $T$ .

There are several results in special cases proved in [8]. For instance, if a commuting tuple  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$  is an  $(m, p)$ -isometry as well as a  $(\mu, \infty)$ -isometry and we have  $m = 1$  or  $\mu = 1$  or  $m = \mu = d = 2$ , then there exists one operator  $T_{j_0} \in \{T_1, \dots, T_d\}$  which is an isometry and the remaining operators  $T_k$  for  $k \neq j_0$  are in particular nilpotent of order  $m$ . Although, we are not able to obtain such a results for general  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mu, d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ , yet, we can prove a weaker property: In all proofs of the cases discussed in [8], the fact that the tuple  $(T_1^m, \dots, T_d^m)$  is a  $(1, p)$ -isometry is of critical importance (see the proofs of [8, Theorem 7.1 and Proposition 7.3]). We will show in this paper that this fact holds in general for any tuple which is both  $(m, p)$ -isometric and  $(\mu, \infty)$ -isometric, for general  $m, \mu$  and  $d$ .

The notation we will be using is basically standard, with one possible exception: We will denote the tuple of  $d-1$  operators obtained by removing one operator  $T_{j_0}$  from  $(T_1, \dots, T_d)$  by  $T'_{j_0}$ , that is  $T'_{j_0} := (T_1, \dots, T_{j_0-1}, T_{j_0+1}, \dots, T_d) \in$

$B(X)^{d-1}$  (not to be confused with the dual of the operator  $T_{j_0}$ , which will not appear in this paper). Analogously, we denote by  $\alpha'_{j_0}$  the multi-index obtained by removing  $\alpha_{j_0}$  from  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$ .

We will further use the notations  $R(T_j)$  for the range and  $N(T_j)$  for the kernel (or nullspace) of an operator  $T_j$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce two needed definitions/notations and compile a number of propositions and theorems, predominantly taken from [8], which are necessary for our considerations.

In the following, for  $T \in B(X)^d$  and given  $p \in (0, \infty)$ , define for all  $x \in X$  the sequences  $(Q^{n,p}(T, x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  by

$$Q^{n,p}(T, x) := \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{n!}{\alpha!} \|T^\alpha x\|^p.$$

Define further for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $x \in X$ , the mappings  $P_\ell^{(p)}(T, \cdot) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , by

$$\begin{aligned} P_\ell^{(p)}(T, x) &:= \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{\ell-k} \binom{\ell}{k} Q^{k,p}(T, x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{\ell-k} \binom{\ell}{k} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!} \|T^\alpha x\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $T \in B(X)^d$  is an  $(m, p)$ -isometry if, and only if,  $P_m^{(p)}(T, \cdot) \equiv 0$ .

If the context is clear, we will simply write  $P_\ell(x)$  and  $Q^n(x)$  instead of  $P_\ell^{(p)}(T, x)$  and  $Q^{n,p}(T, x)$ .

Further, for  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the (descending) Pochhammer symbol  $n^{(k)}$  as follows:

$$n^{(k)} := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k > n, \\ \binom{n}{k} k!, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $n^{(0)} = 0^{(0)} = 1$  and, if  $n, k > 0$  and  $k \leq n$ , we have

$$n^{(k)} = n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1).$$

As mentioned above, a fundamental property of  $(m, p)$ -isometries is that their defining property can be expressed in terms of polynomial sequences.

**Theorem 2.1** ([8, Theorem 3.1]).  *$T \in B(X)^d$  is an  $(m, p)$ -isometry if, and only if, there exists a family of polynomials  $f_x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \in X$ , of degree  $\leq m-1$  with  $f_x|_{\mathbb{N}} = (Q^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .<sup>1</sup>*

This actually follows by the (not immediate<sup>2</sup>) application of a well-known theorem about functions defined on the natural numbers, which itself will be needed for our considerations as well. We give it here in a simplified form which is sufficient for our needs.

<sup>1</sup>Set  $\deg 0 := -\infty$  to account for the case  $m = 0$ .

<sup>2</sup>The application of Theorem 2.2 to  $(m, p)$ -isometries by setting  $a = (Q_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is not immediate, since the requirement  $P_m(T, x) = 0$  is only the case  $n = 0$  in (2.1).

**Theorem 2.2** (see, for instance, [1, Satz 3.1]). *Let  $a = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a sequence and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} a_{n+k} = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (2.1)$$

*if, and only if, there exists a polynomial function  $f$  of degree  $\deg f \leq m-1$  with  $f|_{\mathbb{N}} = a$ .<sup>1</sup>*

Two important consequences of Theorem 2.1 are contained in the following corollary. The first part describes the Newton-form of the Lagrange-polynomial  $f_x$  interpolating  $(Q^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . The second part trivially describes the leading coefficient of  $f_x$ .

**Corollary 2.3** ([8, Proposition 3.2]). *Let  $m \geq 1$  and  $T \in B(X)^d$  be an  $(m, p)$ -isometry. Then we have*

(i) *for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$Q^n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} n^{(k)} \left( \frac{1}{k!} P_k(x) \right), \quad \forall x \in X;$$

(ii)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q^n(x)}{n^{m-1}} = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} P_{m-1}(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Regarding  $(m, \infty)$ -isometries, we will need the following two statements. Theorem 2.5 is a combination of several fundamental properties of  $(m, \infty)$ -isometric tuples.

**Proposition 2.4** ([8, Corollary 5.1]). *Let  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$  be an  $(m, \infty)$ -isometry. Then  $(\|T^\alpha x\|)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d}$  is bounded, for all  $x \in X$ , and*

$$\max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \|T^\alpha x\| = \max_{|\alpha|=0, \dots, m-1} \|T^\alpha x\|,$$

*for all  $x \in X$ .*

**Theorem 2.5** ([8, Proposition 5.5, Theorem 5.1 and Remark 5.2]). *Let  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$  be an  $(m, \infty)$ -isometric tuple. Define the norm  $|\cdot|_\infty : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  via  $|x|_\infty := \max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \|T^\alpha x\|$ , for all  $x \in X$ , and denote*

$$X_{j, |\cdot|_\infty} := \{x \in X \mid |x|_\infty = |T_j^n x|_\infty \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

*Then*

$$X = \bigcup_{j=1, \dots, d} X_{j, |\cdot|_\infty}.$$

(Note that, by Proposition 2.4,  $|\cdot|_\infty = \|\cdot\|$  if  $m = 1$ .)

We will also require a fundamental fact on tuples which are both  $(m, p)$ - and  $(\mu, \infty)$ -isometric and an (almost) immediate corollary.

**Lemma 2.6** ([8, Lemma 7.2]). *Let  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$  be an  $(m, p)$ -isometry as well as a  $(\mu, \infty)$ -isometry. Let  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  be a multi-index with the property that  $|\gamma'_j| \geq m$  for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . Then  $T^\gamma = 0$ .*

Conversely, this implies that if an operator  $T^\alpha$  is not the zero-operator, the multi-index  $\alpha$  has to be of a specific form. The proof in [8] of the following corollary appears to be overly complicated, the statement is just the negation of the previous lemma.

**Corollary 2.7** ([8, Corollary 7.1]). *Let  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$  be an  $(m, p)$ -isometry for some  $m \geq 1$  as well as a  $(\mu, \infty)$ -isometry. If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$  is a multi-index with  $T^\alpha \neq 0$  and  $|\alpha| = n$ , then there exists some  $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  with  $T^\alpha = T_{j_0}^{n-|\alpha'_{j_0}|} (T'_{j_0})^{\alpha'_{j_0}}$  and  $|\alpha'_{j_0}| \leq m-1$ .*

This fact has consequences for the appearance of elements of the sequences  $(Q^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , since several summands become zero for large enough  $n$ . That is, we have trivially by definition of  $(Q^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ :

**Corollary 2.8** ([8, proof of Theorem 7.1]). *Let  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$  be an  $(m, p)$ -isometry for some  $m \geq 1$  as well as a  $(\mu, \infty)$ -isometry. Then, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \geq 2m-1$ , we have*

$$Q^n(x) = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=0, \dots, m-1}} \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{n!}{(n-|\beta|)! \beta!} \|T_j^{n-|\beta|} (T'_j)^\beta x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

where  $\frac{n!}{(n-|\beta|)! \beta!} = \frac{n^{(|\beta|)}}{\beta!}$ . (We set  $n \geq 2m-1$  to ensure that every multi-index only appears once.)

### 3 The main result

We first present the main result of this article, which is a generalisation of [8, Proposition 7.3], before stating a preliminary lemma needed for its proof.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$  be an  $(m, p)$ -isometric as well as a  $(\mu, \infty)$ -isometric tuple. Then*

(i) *the sequences  $n \mapsto \|T_j^n x\|$  become constant for  $n \geq m$ , for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , for all  $x \in X$ .*

(ii) *the tuple  $(T_1^m, \dots, T_d^m)$  is a  $(1, p)$ -isometry, that is*

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \|T_j^m x\|^p = \|x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

(iii) *for any  $(n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  with  $n_j \geq m$  for all  $j$ , the operators  $\sum_{j=1}^d T_j^{n_j}$  are isometries, that is*

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^d T_j^{n_j} x \right\| = \|x\|, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Of course, (i) and (ii) imply that, for any  $(n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  with  $n_j \geq m$  for all  $j$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \|T_j^{n_j} x\|^p = \|x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

Theorem 3.1 is a consequence of the following lemma, which is a weaker version of 3.1.(i).

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$  be an  $(m, p)$ -isometric as well as a  $(\mu, \infty)$ -isometric tuple. Let further  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$  be a multi-index with  $|\kappa| \geq 1$ . Then the mappings*

$$n \mapsto \|T_j^n (T'_j)^\kappa x\|$$

*become constant for  $n \geq m$ , for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , for all  $x \in X$ .*

*Proof.* If  $m = 0$ , then  $X = \{0\}$  and if  $m = 1$ , the statement holds trivially, since  $T_j T_i = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$  by Lemma 2.6. So assume  $m \geq 2$ . Further, it clearly suffices to consider  $|\kappa| = 1$ , since the statement then holds for all  $x \in X$ . The proof, however, works by proving the theorem for  $|\kappa| \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$  in descending order. (Note that the case  $|\kappa| \geq m$  is also trivial, again by Lemma 2.6.)

Since for  $n \geq 2m-1$ , by Corollary 2.8,

$$Q^n(x) = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=0, \dots, m-1}} \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{n^{(|\beta|)}}{\beta!} \|T_j^{n-|\beta|} (T'_j)^\beta x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

and  $P_{m-1}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q^n(x)}{n^{m-1}}$ , for all  $x \in X$ , by Corollary 2.3.(ii), we have that

$$P_{m-1}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=m-1}} \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{\beta!} \|T_j^n (T'_j)^\beta x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Now fix an arbitrary  $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  and let  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$  with  $|\kappa| \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ . Again, by Lemma 2.6, we have, for any  $\nu \geq 1$ ,

$$P_{m-1} (T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in X. \quad (3.1)$$

Now let  $\nu \geq m$  and set  $\ell := m - |\kappa|$ . Then  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$  and  $|\kappa| = m - \ell$ .

We again apply Lemma 2.6, this time to  $Q^k(T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x)$ . By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q^k(T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x) &= \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{k!}{\alpha!} \|T^\alpha (T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x)\|^p \\
 &= \|T_{j_0}^k (T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x)\|^p + \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=j}} \frac{k!}{(k-j)!\beta!} \|T_{j_0}^{k-j} (T'_{j_0})^\beta (T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x)\|^p \\
 &\stackrel{2.6}{=} \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k} (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x\|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\min\{k, \ell-1\}} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=j}} \frac{k!}{(k-j)!\beta!} \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k-j} (T'_{j_0})^{\kappa+\beta} x\|^p \\
 &= \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k} (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x\|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} k^{(j)} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=j}} \frac{1}{\beta!} \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k-j} (T'_{j_0})^{\kappa+\beta} x\|^p,
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , for all  $x \in X$ . Here, in the third line, the fact that  $\nu \geq m$  is used, where in the last line, we utilise the fact that  $k^{(j)} = 0$  if  $j > k$ .

We now prove our statement by (finite) induction on  $\ell$ .

$\ell = 1$ :

For  $\ell = 1$  and  $|\kappa| = m - 1$ , we have

$$Q^k(T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x) = \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k} (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x\|^p, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Hence, since  $P_{m-1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-k} \binom{m-1}{k} Q^k(x)$  by definition, we have, by (3.1),

$$P_{m-1}(T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-k} \binom{m-1}{k} \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k} (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x\|^p = 0, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

However, by definition, that means, that the operator  $T_{j_0}|_{R(T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^\kappa)}$  (that is,  $T_{j_0}$  restricted to the range of  $T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^\kappa$ ) is an  $(m-1, p)$ -isometric operator.

By Theorem 2.1 (or, as mentioned in the introduction, by statements proven by earlier authors), this implies that the sequences  $n \mapsto \|T_{j_0}^{n+\nu} (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x\|^p$  is polynomial of degree  $\leq m-2$ , for all  $x \in X$ . Thus,  $n \mapsto \|T_{j_0}^n (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x\|^p$ , become polynomial of degree  $\leq m-2$ , for  $n \geq \nu \geq m$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

However, since  $T$  is a  $(\mu, \infty)$ -isometric tuple, by Proposition 2.4 the sequences  $n \mapsto \|T_j^n x\|$  are bounded for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , for all  $x \in X$ . Therefore, we must have that the mappings

$$n \mapsto \|T_{j_0}^n (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x\|$$

become constant for  $n \geq m$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

Since  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ , if we had  $m = 2$ , we are already done. So assume in the following that  $m \geq 3$ .

$\ell \rightarrow \ell + 1$ :

Assume that the statement holds for some  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m-2\}$ . That is, for all  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$  with  $|\kappa| = m - \ell$  the sequences

$$n \mapsto \|T_{j_0}^n (T'_{j_0})^\kappa x\|$$

become constant for  $n \geq m$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

Now take a multi-index  $\tilde{\kappa} \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$  with  $|\tilde{\kappa}| = m - (\ell + 1)$  and consider

$$Q^k(T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}} x) = \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k} (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}} x\|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} k^{(j)} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=j}} \frac{1}{\beta!} \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k-j} (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}+\beta} x\|^p.$$

Note that we have  $|\tilde{\kappa} + \beta| \geq m - \ell$ , since  $|\beta| \geq 1$ . Hence, if  $k \geq j$ , by our induction assumption,

$$\|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k-j} (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}+\beta} x\|^p = \|T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}+\beta} x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

since  $n \mapsto \|T_{j_0}^n (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}+\beta} x\|$  become constant for  $n \geq \nu \geq m$ .

Hence, we have

$$Q^k(T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}} x) = \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k} (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}} x\|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} k^{(j)} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=j}} \frac{1}{\beta!} \|T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}+\beta} x\|^p.$$

Then, by definition and 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= P_{m-1} \left( T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}} x \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-k} \binom{m-1}{k} Q^k(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-k} \binom{m-1}{k} \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k} (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}} x\|^p \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-k} \binom{m-1}{k} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} k^{(j)} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=j}} \frac{1}{\beta!} \|T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}+\beta} x\|^p \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . But now, for all  $x \in X$ , the sequence

$$k \mapsto \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} k^{(j)} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=j}} \frac{1}{\beta!} \|T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}+\beta} x\|^p \right)$$

is polynomial (in  $k$ ) of degree  $\leq \ell - 1 \leq m - 3$  (with trailing coefficient 0). Hence, by Theorem 2.2,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-k} \binom{m-1}{k} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} k^{(j)} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=j}} \frac{1}{\beta!} \|T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}+\beta} x\|^p \right) = 0$$



and, thus,

$$0 = P_{m-1} \left( T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}} x \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-k} \binom{m-1}{k} \|T_{j_0}^{\nu+k} (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}} x\|^p,$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Now we can repeat the argument from the case  $\ell = 1$  (that is,  $T_{j_0}$  restricted to the range of  $T_{j_0}^\nu (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}}$  is an  $(m-1, p)$ -isometric operator), to obtain again that the sequences

$$n \mapsto \|T_{j_0}^n (T'_{j_0})^{\tilde{\kappa}} x\|$$

become constant for  $n \geq \nu \geq m$ , for all  $x \in X$ . This concludes the induction step and the proof.  $\square$

We can now prove the main result.

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* By the lemma above, we have for  $n \geq 2m-1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Q^n(x) &= \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=0, \dots, m-1}} n^{(|\beta|)} \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{\beta!} \|T_j^{n-|\beta|} (T'_j)^\beta x\|^p \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1} \\ |\beta|=1, \dots, m-1}} n^{(|\beta|)} \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{\beta!} \|T_j^m (T'_j)^\beta x\|^p + \sum_{j=1}^d \|T_j^n x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

That is, for all  $x \in X$ , for  $n \geq m-1$ , the sequences  $n \mapsto Q^n(x)$  are almost polynomial (of degree  $\leq m-1$ ), with the term  $\sum_{j=1}^d \|T_j^n x\|^p$  instead of a (constant) trailing coefficient.

However, by Corollary 2.3.(i), we know that for any  $x \in X$ , the sequence  $n \mapsto Q^n(x)$  are indeed polynomial. Since, by Proposition 2.4, for each  $x \in X$ , the sequence  $n \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^d \|T_j^n x\|^p$  is bounded, we can successive compare and remove coefficients of the formula for  $Q_n(x)$  as given in 2.3.(i) and (3.2), until we eventually obtain that

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \|T_j^n x\|^p = \|x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X, \quad \forall n \geq 2m-1. \quad (3.3)$$

Since  $T_i^m T_j^m = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ , by Lemma 2.6, replacing  $x$  by  $T_j^\nu x$  with  $\nu \geq m$  in this last equation, gives  $\|T_j^\nu x\| = \|T_j^{n+\nu} x\|$  for all  $n \geq 2m-1$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

Hence, the sequences  $n \mapsto \|T_j^n x\|$  become constant for  $n \geq m$ , for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , for all  $x \in X$ . This is 3.1.(i).

But then, (3.3) becomes

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \|T_j^m x\|^p = \|x\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

This is 3.1.(ii).

Now take any  $(n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  with  $n_j \geq m$  for all  $j$  and replace  $x$  in the equation above by  $\sum_{j=1}^d T_j^{n_j}$ . Then, again, since  $T_i^m T_j^m = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , and since  $n \mapsto \|T_j^n x\|$  become constant for  $n \geq m$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \|T_j^{m+n_j} x\|^p = \sum_{j=1}^d \|T_j^m x\|^p = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^d T_j^{n_j} x \right\|^p, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Together with 3.1.(i), this implies 3.1.(iii).  $\square$

It is clear that we have a stronger result if one of the operators  $T_{j_0} \in \{T_1, \dots, T_d\}$  is surjective. Theorem 3.1.(i) then forces this operator to be an isometric isomorphism and by 3.1.(ii) the remaining operators are nilpotent.

If one of the operators  $T_{j_0} \in \{T_1, \dots, T_d\}$  is injective, by Lemma 2.6 and 3.1.(ii) we obtain at least that  $T_{j_0}^m$  is an isometry and the remaining operators are nilpotent. However, while, by definition of an  $(m, p)$ -isometry, we must have  $\bigcap_{j=1}^d N(T_j) = \{0\}$ , it is not clear that the kernel of a single operator has to be trivial.

## 4 Some further remarks and the case $d = 2$

We finish this note with a stronger result for the case of a commuting pair  $(T_1, T_2) \in B(X)^d$ . We first state the following two easy corollaries of Theorem 3.1 which hold for general  $d$ .

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$  be an  $(m, p)$ -isometry as well as a  $(\mu, \infty)$ -isometry. Then  $T_j^m = 0$  or  $\|T_j^m\| = 1$  for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.1.(ii) we have  $\|T_j^m\| \leq 1$  for any  $j$ . On the other hand, by 3.1.(i) we have

$$\|T_j^m x\| = \|T_j^{m+1} x\| \leq \|T_j^m\| \cdot \|T_j^m x\|, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

for any  $j$ . That is,  $T_j^m = 0$  or  $\|T_j^m\| \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in B(X)^d$  be an  $(m, p)$ -isometry as well as a  $(\mu, \infty)$ -isometry. Define  $|\cdot|_\infty : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $X_{j, |\cdot|_\infty}$  as in Theorem 2.5. Then*

$$X_{j, |\cdot|_\infty} = \{x \in X \mid \exists \alpha(x) \in \mathbb{N}^d, \text{ s.th. } |\alpha(x)| \leq \mu - 1 \text{ and } |x|_\infty = \|T_j^n (T_j')^{\alpha'_j(x)} x\|, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.4 we know that for every  $x \in X$ , there exists an  $\alpha(x) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  with  $\max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \|T^\alpha x\| = \|T^{\alpha(x)} x\|$  and  $|\alpha(x)| \leq \mu - 1$ .

Then  $x \in X_{j, |\cdot|_\infty}$  if, and only if, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists an  $\alpha(x, n) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  with  $|\alpha(x, n)| \leq \mu - 1$  s.th.  $|x|_\infty = \|T_j^n T^{\alpha(x, n)} x\|$ . Hence, the inclusion “ $\supset$ ” is clear.

To show “ $\subset$ ” let  $0 \neq x \in X_{j, |\cdot|_\infty}$ . Then  $T_j^m \neq 0$  and, hence,  $\|T_j^m\| = 1$ .

Since  $|\alpha(x, n)| \leq \mu - 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there are only finitely many choices for each  $\alpha(x, n)$ . Thus, there exists an  $\alpha(x) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  and an infinite set  $M(x) \subset \mathbb{N}$  s.th.

$$|x|_\infty = \|T_j^n T^{\alpha(x)} x\|, \quad \forall n \in M(x).$$

By Theorem 3.1.(i),  $M(x)$  contains all  $n \geq m$  and further,

$$\|T_j^n T^{\alpha(x)} x\| = \|T_j^n (T_j')^{\alpha_j'(x)} x\|, \text{ for all } n \geq m.$$

Since  $\|T_j^m\| = 1$ , the statement holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $T = (T_1, T_d) \in B(X)^d$  be both an  $(m, p)$ -isometric and a  $(\mu, \infty)$ -isometric pair. Then  $T_1^m$  is an isometry and  $T_2^m = 0$  or vice versa.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.5, we have  $X = X_{1,|\cdot|_\infty} \cup X_{2,|\cdot|_\infty}$ .

Let  $x_1 \in X_{1,|\cdot|_\infty}$ . Then, by the previous lemma, there exists an  $\alpha_2(x_1) \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\alpha_2(x_1) \leq \mu - 1$  s.th.  $|x_1|_\infty = \|T_1^n T_2^{\alpha_2(x_1)} x_1\|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Furthermore, we have  $\|x\|^p = \|T_1^m x\|^p + \|T_2^m x\|^p$ , for all  $x \in X$ , by Theorem 3.1.(ii). Replacing  $x$  by  $T_2^{\alpha_2(x_1)} x_1$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_2^{\alpha_2(x_1)} x_1\| &= \|T_1^m T_2^{\alpha_2(x_1)} x_1\| + \|T_2^{m+\alpha_2(x_1)} x_1\| \\ \Leftrightarrow \|T_2^{\alpha_2(x_1)} x_1\| &= |x_1|_\infty + \|T_2^m x_1\|. \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $\|T_2^{\alpha_2(x_1)} x_1\| = |x_1|_\infty$  and, moreover,  $\|T_2^m x_1\| = 0$ .

An analogous argument shows that  $X_{2,|\cdot|_\infty} \subset N(T_1^m)$ . Hence,

$$X = N(T_1^m) \cup N(T_2^m),$$

which forces  $T_1^m = 0$  or  $T_2^m = 0$ . The statement follows from  $\|x\|^p = \|T_1^m x\|^p + \|T_2^m x\|^p$ , for all  $x \in X$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] M. Aigner, Diskrete Mathematik, Vieweg, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden 1993.
- [2] J. Agler, A disconjugacy theorem for Toeplitz operators, *Am. J. Math.*, Vol. 112, No. 1 (1990), 1-14.
- [3] J. Agler and M. Stankus,  $m$ -isometric transformations of Hilbert space, I, *Integr. equ. oper. theory*, Vol. 21, No. 4 (1995), 383-429.
- [4] F. Bayart,  $m$ -Isometries on Banach Spaces, *Mathematische Nachrichten*, Vol. 284, No. 17-18 (2011), 2141-2147.
- [5] T. Bermúdez, A. Martínón and V. Müller,  $(m, q)$ -isometries on metric spaces, *J. Operator Theory*, Vol. 72, No. 2 (2014), 313-329.
- [6] T. Bermúdez, A. Martínón and E. Negrín, Weighted Shift Operators Which are  $m$ -Isometries, *Integr. equ. oper. theory*, Vol. 68, No. 3 (2010), 301-312.
- [7] F. Botelho, On the existence of  $n$ -isometries on  $\ell_p$  spaces, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, Vol. 76, No. 1-2 (2010), 183-192.
- [8] P. H. W. Hoffmann and M. Mackey,  $(m, p)$ - and  $(m, \infty)$ -isometric operator tuples on normed spaces, *Asian-Eur. J. Math.*, Vol. 8, No. 2 (2015).
- [9] P. Hoffmann, M. Mackey and M. Ó Searcóid, On the second parameter of an  $(m, p)$ -isometry, *Integr. equ. oper. theory*, Vol. 71, No. 3 (2011), 389-405.

- [10] J. Gleason and S. Richter,  $m$ -Isometric Commuting Tuples of Operators on a Hilbert Space, *Integr. equ. oper. theory*, Vol. 56, No. 2 (2006), 181-196 .
- [11] S. Richter, Invariant subspaces of the Dirichlet shift, *J. reine angew. Math.*, Vol. 386 (1988), 205-220.
- [12] O.A. Sid Ahmed,  $m$ -isometric Operators on Banach Spaces, *Asian-Eur. J. Math.*, Vol. 3, No. 1 (2010), 1-19.

---

Philipp Hoffmann  
National University of Ireland, Maynooth  
Department of Computer Science  
Maynooth, County Kildare  
Ireland  
*email:* `philipp.hoffmann@cs.nuim.ie`  
`philip.hoffmann@maths.ucd.ie`